UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,065	10/05/2005	Kai Schiemann	MERCK-3074	6546
	7590 11/16/201 TE, ZELANO & BRA	EXAMINER		
2200 CLÁREN SUITE 1400	*	STONE, CHRISTOPHER R		
ARLINGTON,	VA 22201	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1628	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/16/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@mwzb.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/552,065	SCHIEMANN ET	SCHIEMANN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		CHRISTOPHER R. STONE	1628				
<i>Th</i> Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this communication app eply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ac	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Res	ponsive to communication(s) filed on 12 A	uaust 2010					
· —	·	action is non-final.					
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
0.00	od in decordance with the practice under 2	Ex parto Quayro, 1000 C.B. 11,	100 0.0. 210.				
Disposition o	of Claims						
4)⊠ Clai)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11-24</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) (4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4,11,12,14-16 and 19-21</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)∐ Clai	m(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Clai	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3, 5-9, 13, 17, 18 and 22-24</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊟ Clai	m(s) is/are objected to.	-					
8)⊟ Clai	m(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application F	Papers						
9)□ The	specification is objected to by the Examine	er					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
	r 35 U.S.C. § 119		o / totion of form /	10 102.			
	•						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 							
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of F 2) ☐ Notice of E 3) ☐ Information	he attached detailed Office action for a list References Cited (PTO-892) Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) In Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) S)/Mail Date	of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mai	y (PTO-413) Date				

Application/Control Number: 10/552,065 Page 2

Art Unit: 1628

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' arguments, filed August 12, 2010, have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection below, which is necessitated by Applicant's amendment. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

Status of Claims

Claims 1-9 and 11-24 are pending. Claims 4, 12, 15, 16 and 19-21 are withdrawn as being drawn to a nonelected invention. The elected specie of compound, 1-[1-(4'-Fluoro-biphenyl-4-yl)-5-(2-fluoro-phenyl)-1H-pyrazol-4-ylmethtyl]-4-methyl-piperazine (see Response to Restriction Requirement, filed August 7, 2008, p. 1) is found to be allowable. The examination has been extended to include the compounds of formula I, wherein X is CH, R¹ is Hal or phenyl, R² is phenyl, R³ is CH₂CO₂H and R⁴ is H. Thus claims 11 and 14 are withdrawn as being drawn to a non-elected species. Claims 1-3, 5-9, 13, 17, 18 and 22-24 are currently under examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 6-9, 13 and 22-24 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rainer (US 5,325,962).

Rainer teaches 5-phenyl-1-(p-chlorophenyl)-pyrazol-4-acetic acid, which corresponds to the compound of formula I, wherein X is CH, R¹ is CI, R² is phenyl, R³ is CH₂CO₂H and R⁴ is H (column 20, Example 31). The compound is taught to be useful as an analgesic and anti-inflammatory agent (abstract). Rainer does not expressly teach that the compound has 5-HT_{2A}; however, if the prior art teaches the identical chemical structure, the properties applicant discloses and/or claims are necessarily present. *In re Spada*, 911 F.2d 705, 709, 15 USPQ2d 1655, 1658 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/552,065

Art Unit: 1628

Claims 5, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rainer (US 5,325,962).

Page 4

Rainer (US 5,325,962).teaches the aforementioned compound; however Rainer does not expressly teach the compound wherein the moiety corresponding to instant R¹ is phenyl (as opposed to H). However Rainer expressly teaches that the moiety corresponding to instant R¹ may be phenyl (column 2, line 24), resulting in compounds with the same useful pharmacological activity and discloses compounds wherein the moiety corresponding to instant R¹ is phenyl (Examples 15 and 19). Therefore it would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to replace the Cl of 5-phenyl-1-(p-chlorophenyl)-pyrazol-4-acetic acid with phenyl, thus resulting in the practice of the instantly claimed invention with a reasonable expectation of success.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHRISTOPHER R. STONE whose telephone number is (571)270-3494. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:30am-4:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brandon Fetterolf can be reached on (571) 272-2919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/552,065 Page 5

Art Unit: 1628

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CRS

/Brandon J Fetterolf/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1628